



Report on Parallel Session 2 (Day 1)
Impacts at the Project Level

Moderator: Angus Hunter, Optimat

Key discussion items

1. Progress and interim conclusions on ERA-LEARN activity to explore the feasibility of a harmonised, centralised system to gather and report data on project-level impact assessment
2. Practical experience and lessons from P2P and national impact assessment activities
 - P2P networks with systematic approach to project-level impact assessment (very few)
 - M-ERA.NET (Roland)**
 - A185 Metrology (Paula)**
 - Recent national studies
 - Netherlands: Ministry of Economy (Casper)**
 - Finland: AKA (Hannele)**
3. What would be the benefits of a common framework, how could it work with the different P2P networks and what added value could be provided by the ERA-LEARN platform



Key findings

- **What would be the benefits of the proposed common framework for the P2P networks?**
 - Offers systematic, managed process with *less transactional costs*
 - Comparable results (*common definition/language*)
 - *Quality and availability of data* for management/reporting/benchmarking
- **How could it work with (or for) their network?**
 - Consistent way of collecting/maintaining data
 - Help address post-contract/network fragmentation (>5 years from Call to Impact)
 - Needs to be compatible with existing/specific data collection strategies
- **Could ERA-LEARN offer additional value added by providing data management functionality and/or benchmarking information?**
 - Yes, if well coordinated and aligned with P2Ps needs/ambitions
 - Mix of YES and UNSURE



Conclusions/recommendations

1. Need for common framework

- Clear role for ERA-LEARN
 - develop consensus on core set of harmonised questions
- P2P networks may wish to add more specific questions

2. Increasing interest but quite difficult and labour-intensive process for P2P networks

- ERA-LEARN support processes/functionality would help make more practical

3. Need to pilot with some real networks/projects (early 2017)

- Identify recently completed projects and approach the relevant networks to participate in trial, **AND/OR**
- Focus on a thematic area with broad range of project-level beneficiaries (e.g. bioeconomy)

4. Conclude on feasibility of the proposed central process for project-level impact assessment (by end June 2017)